The Role of Targeting DNA Repair with PARP

Daniel P. Petrylak, MD Professor of Medicine and Urology Smilow Cancer Center Yale University Medical Center

Cancer Case Series.				
Case Series	Description	Patients	Patients with Mutations	
		no.	no. (%)	
1	Stand Up To Cancer–Prostate Cancer Foundation discovery series	150	15 (10.0)	
2	Stand Up To Cancer–Prostate Cancer Foundation validation series	84	9 (10.7)	
3	Royal Marsden Hospital	131	16 (12.2)	
4	University of Washington	91	8 (8.8)	
5	Weill Cornell Medical College	69	7 (10.1)	
6	University of Michigan	43	4 (9.3)	
7	Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center	124	23 (18.5)	
Total		692	82 (11.8)	

Table 3 Cormline DNA Penair Cone Mutations in Soven Metastatic Prostate

















Synthetic Lethality: PARP inhibition in HRD cancer



Olaparib in Prostate Cancer

- TOPARP study: n=49 patients with mCRPC, who are docetaxelpre-treated. (Mateo et al. 2015)
 - 32.7 % (16/49) response rate in "unselected" mCRPC patients.
 - Genomic Analysis of their prospectively obtained tumor samples:
 - **16 (33%)** had mutations in DNA repair pathway (*ATM, BRCA2* and others) (biomarker positive)
 - 14 of these patient responded
 - 33 (67%) had no such mutations (biomarker negative)
 - 2 of these patients responded.

Phase 3 PROFound: Olaparib vs Enzalutamide or Abiraterone in Men With HRRm mCRPC Who Failed Prior Second-Generation Antiandrogen Treatment



Subjects randomized to investigator choice sam will be given the opportunity to begin treatment with open-label olaparib [300 mg BID] only after objective radiographic progression by BICR. No intervening systemic anticancer therapy following discontinuation of randomized treatment will be germitted. Subjects may continue on olaparib as long as they show clinical benefit as judged by the investig

BICR, blinded independent review committee; BID, twice daily.

In House Data, AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP. Drug Substance Olaparib. D081DC00007; 2017.

Subsequent anticancer therapy at investigator discretion

Ongoing Trials of PARP inhibitor

Ph	Agent	Setting	Tx Arms	Primary Endpoint	NCT
1	Olaparib	Intermediate/High Risk Prostate Cancer Before Radical Prostatectomy (CaNCaP03)	Olaparib +Degarelix vs Olaparib alone	Biomarker endpoint (PARP Inhibition)	NCT02324998 (Not Yet Open)
2	Rucaparib (Clovis)	HR Deficient mCRPC (deleterious mt inBRCA1/2 or ATM or other HR genes	Rucaparib	ORR and PSA response	TRITON2 NCT02952534
3	Rucaparib (Clovis)	mCRPC, HR deficient (BRCA1/2 or ATM)	Rucaparib vs Investigator choice (Doc, Abi, Enz)	rPFS	TRITON3 NCT02975934 (not yet open)
2	Niraparib	mCRPC (taxaned and AR pre-treated) (Biomarker positive for HR deficient)	Neraparib	Response Rate	NCT02854436 (OPEN)

Hypoxia and DNA repair genes in Prostate Cancer

 Hypoxia has been shown to downregulate DNA dsb repair gene expression (*BRCA-2, BRCA-1* and *RAD51* expression in multiple cell lines including prostate cancer (Bindra 2005; Meng AX 2005).





Presented by Joseph W Kim, MD

Cediranib as an inducer of hypoxia





Pimonidazole adduct (green, hypoxia) and Hoechst 33342 (blue, perfusion) uptake in cediranib- or vehicle treated rat C6 gliomas

Burrell JS et al. IJC 2012

Summary of Rationale

- Olaparib works in a significant subset of mCRPC, mostly in patients with loss of function mutations in DNA repair pathways.
- Olaparib and cediranib combination may work synergistically even in the absence of mutations in DNA repair pathways via the way of hypoxia-induced homologous recombination DNA repair deficiency by gene-silencing of *BRCA1*, *BRCA2* and other HR related genes.
- **Hypothesis:** The combination of cediranib/olaparib has higher response rate and progression free survival than olaparib monotherapy in patients with mCRPC

Study Design: NCI Protocol 9984



Statistical Consideration Power = 90%, one-sided type I error = 10%, N = 42 per arm (total N = 84)

Presented by Joseph W Kim, MD

Study Design: NCI Protocol 9984



Rationale for Combining PARPi + AR Targeting

 Preclinical data suggest synergy when a PARPi is combined with agents that inhibit androgen synthesis or function (eg, secondgeneration antiandrogens), regardless of HRRm status^{1,2} Cotargeting AR Signaling and DNA Damage Repair² PARP inhibition to castration in mouse models of prostate cancer



Days after start of treatment

1. Asim M, et al. Nat Commun. 2017;8:374. 2. Schiewer MJ, et al. Cancer Discov. 2012;2:1134-1149.

Dual Mode of Synergy With Olaparib Plus Second-Generation Antiandrogens¹⁻⁴

- Enhance blockade of AR signaling
 - Failure of AR-dependent localization of PARP to target genes
 - PARP-mediated nucleosome remodeling at targets abolished
 - Transcriptional downregulation of AR targets
- Inducing "BRCAness"
 - Decreased HRR gene expression
 - Decreased DSB repair
 - Radiosensitivity

SGA, second-generation antiandrogen.

^{1.} Polkinghorne WR, et al. *Cancer Discov.* 2013;3(11):1245-1253. 2. Tarish FL, et al. *Sci Transl Med.* 2015;7(312):312re11. 3. Li L, et al. *Sci Signal.* 2017;10(480). 4. Asim M, et al. *Nat Commun.* 2017;8(1):374.

Phase 2 NCI 9012 Abiraterone ± Veliparib in mCRPC: Study Design

Key Eligibility Criteria

- Histologic or cytologic
 diagnosis of prostate cancer
- Progressive mCRPC, on androgen-deprivation therapy
- Testosterone <50 ng/dL
- ≤2 prior chemotherapy regimens
 - May include docetaxel; secondgeneration antiandrogen- and PARPi-naïve
- ECOG PS 0-2

ENTING FOR LIFE

(N=153)



Stratification

 Prior ketoconazole and ETS fusion status (positive/negative)



*Courses repeat every 28 days in the absence of disease progression or unacceptable toxicity. †Abiraterone and prednisone on day 1 (day 8 of course 1). mRR, measurable disease response rate; PSA RR, protein-specific antigen response rate; qd, once daily. Hussain M, et al. *J Clin Oncol.* 2018;36(10):991-999.



No Significant Difference in PSA Outcomes Between Abiraterone vs Abiraterone + Veliparib in mCRPC

Unselected Patients	Abiraterone	Abiraterone + Veliparib	<i>P</i> -value
PSA Outcomes ORR, n (%)	(n=72) 46 (63.9)	(n=76) 55 (72.4)	0.27
Measurable Disease RECIST ORR, n (%)	(n=40) 18 (45.0)	(n=46) 24 (52.2)	0.51
Median PFS, mos	10.1	11	0.99
Primary endpoint was not met			





Exploratory Analysis Across Treatment Arms Based on Somatic DDR^{*} Mutations

- Confirmed PSA response (90% vs • 56.7%; P=0.007)
- PSA decline of ≥90% (75% vs 25%; ٠ *P*=0.001)
- Measurable disease RECIST • response (87.5% vs 38.6%; *P*=0.001)
- Median PFS (14.5 vs 8.1 months; ٠ *P*=0.025)





in BRCA1, BRCA2, ATM, FANCA, PALB2, RAD51B, or RAD51C. Hussain M, et al. J Clin Oncol. 2018;36(10):991-999.

Trial design



*Prednisone/prednisolone (5 mg) was administered alongside abiraterone as indicated.



#ASCO18 Slides are the property of the author, permission required for reuse.

Baseline characteristics

	Olaparib + Abiraterone (n=71)	Abiraterone (n=71)
Median age, years (IQR)	70 (65-75)	67 (62-74)
Caucasian, n (%)	67 (94)	67 (94)
ECOG performance status,* n (%) 0 1 2	34 (48) 36 (51) 1 (1)	38 (54) 30 (42) 1 (1)
Median PSA concentration, µg/L (IQR)	86 (23-194)	47 (21-199)
Median time from diagnosis to first dose, months (IQR)	62 (38-93)	48 (32-76)
Extent of disease, n (%) Bone disease only Soft-tissue disease only Bone and soft-tissue disease	33 (46) 8 (11) 30 (42)	33 (46) 11 (15) 27 (38)
Number of bone metastases, n (%) 0-4	32 (45)	46 (65)
5-9	39 (55)	25 (35)
Prior cabazitaxel treatment, n (%)	10 (14)	9 (13)
Median duration of prior LHRH agonist treatment, months (IQR)	53 (32-84)	37 (28-59)

*Two abiraterone-arm patients had unknown ECOG performance status. IQR, interquartile range; LHRH, luteinizing hormone-releasing hormone.



Primary endpoint: investigator-assessed rPFS



Abi, abiraterone; CI, confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio; KM, Kaplan-Meier; olap, olaparib.



Secondary efficacy endpoint: OS





HRR mutation testing



PRESENTED AT: 2018 ASCO ANNUAL MEETING #ASCO18 Slides are the property of the author, permission required for reuse.

rPFS by HRR mutation status



Time from randomization (months)

* There was an 11.3-month rPFS benefit in the HRRm patients treated with olap + abi (17.8 months) vs the HRRm patients treated with abi alone (6.5 months).

 $^{\dagger}80/86$ patients HRRwt by plasma and/or germline testing.

HRRpc, HRR partially characterized; HRRwt, HRR wild-type.



Adverse events experienced by >10 combination arm patients



Numbers inside bars indicate grade \geq 3 adverse events.



Combination Trials

Ph	Agent	Setting	Tx Arms/Cohort	Primar y Endpoi nt	NCT
1b/II	Olaparib	mCRPC A: post-docet B: post Ai/Enz C: Post-Abi / naïve to Enz and cheo	A: pembro +Olaparib B: Pembro + Docet/Pred C: Pembro+Enzalutamide	PSA respon se and toxcity	KEYNOTE-365
R-II	Olaparib	mCRPC (<u>></u> 2 prior lines)	Cediranib plus Olaparib vs Olaparb	rPFS	NCT02893917
1/11	Olapariib	mCRPC, (lung , breast, Ov, CRC)	Durva+Ced Durva+Ola Durva+CO	Safety an dose finding	NCT02484404

Conclusions

- PARP inhibitors has activity in patients who have aberrant DNA repair pathways.
- Combination studies are evaluating the concept of inducing "BRCAness" in DDR negative patients